IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GOPALA KRISHNA TAMADA

WRIT PETITION NO : 976 of 1998

Between:

S.Indiramma, W/o. Raja Reddy,
R/o. Allamadugu, Veduru Kuppam (M),
Chittoor Dist.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Special Commissioner, Land Revenue, A.P.,
Hyderabad.
2 The Joint Collector,
Chittoor, Chittoor District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer,
Veduru Kuppam Mandal, Chittoor Dist.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other Appropriate Writ, order or direction declaring the action of the 1st Respondent in not granting stay of the cancellation order pending appeal as illegal and arbitrary and direct the Respondents not to dispossess the petitioner from the land in S.No. 573 measuring Ac. 3-65 cents of Allamadugu Village, VeduruKuppal Mandal, Chittoor District, pending disposal of the Appeal before the 1st Respondent.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.P.GANGA RAMI REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

The grievance of the petitioner is that she was assigned a patta in an extent of Ac. 3-65 cents of land in Survey No.573 of Allamadugu Village vide D.K.T.No.85/4/97, dated 02-12-1987, and she has been in possession and cultivating the same. According to the petitioner, at the instance of one K.Jagannadha Reddy, the 2nd respondent-Joint Collector, Chittoor, cancelled the said assignment vide D.Dis.No. (B2) 7253/97, dated 22-09-1997. Questioning the said cancellation, she preferred an appeal before the 1st respondent-Special Commissioner, Land Revenue, Hyderabad, and also filed an application for suspension of the cancellation order. But, however, the 1st respondent refused to suspend the said order and hence, she invoked the jurisdiction of this Court seeking a direction to the respondents not to dispossess her from the petition lands during the pendency of the appeal.

This Court by its interim order, dated 12-01-1998, directed the respondents not to dispossess the petitioner from the petition schedule lands.

In the light of the said interim orders and also taking into consideration the fact that the relief sought for is only during the pendency of the appeal preferred by the petitioner herein, which worked out in view of the interim orders, no further orders are needed in this writ petition.

Accordingly, the same is closed.

_____

07-12-2004

vs

To

1 The Special Commissioner, Land Revenue, A.P.,
Hyderabad.
2 The Joint Collector,
Chittoor, Chittoor District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer,
Veduru Kuppam Mandal, Chittoor Dist.

4 2CCs to the G.P for Revenue, High Court Buildings,

Hyderabad(O.U.T).

5 2CD copies